

Lecture 2: Pluripotential theory and the YTD conjecture

Sébastien Boucksom

CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu

Simons Lectures 2023

Kähler metrics and curvature

Let X be a compact connected complex manifold, $n = \dim X$.

- In local coordinates, a **Kähler metric** on X is of the form $(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ for a smooth **plurisubharmonic (psh)** function φ .
- Equivalently given by a **Kähler form**, i.e. a closed positive $(1, 1)$ -form $\omega =_{\text{loc}} i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$.
- Induced volume form $\omega^n \leftrightarrow$ metric on **canonical bundle** K_X , locally generated by $dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$.
- Curvature form of dual bundle = **Ricci curvature** $\text{Ric}(\omega) =_{\text{loc}} -i \partial \bar{\partial} \log \omega^n$.
De Rham class $[\text{Ric}(\omega)] = -c_1(K_X) = c_1(X) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$.
- **Scalar curvature** $S(\omega) = \text{tr}_\omega \text{Ric}(\omega) =_{\text{loc}} \Delta_\omega \log \omega^n$. Mean value $\bar{S} = n \frac{c_1(X) \cdot [\omega]^{n-1}}{[\omega]^n}$.
- ω is a
 - ▶ **constant scalar curvature Kähler (cscK) metric** if $S(\omega) = \text{cst} = \bar{S}$;
 - ▶ **Kähler–Einstein (KE) metric** if $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \lambda \omega$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \iff \omega$ cscK and $c_1(X) = \lambda[\omega]$.
- If $n = 1$: cscK = KE = Poincaré metric.

Kähler potentials and energy functionals

Fix a Kähler form ω on X , of volume $V = \int \omega^n = [\omega]^n$.

- Space of **Kähler potentials** $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\omega) := \{\varphi \in C^\infty(X) \mid \omega_\varphi := \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0\}$.
 $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma $\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}/\mathbb{R} \simeq \{\text{Kähler forms in } [\omega]\}$.
- **Monge–Ampère operator** $\varphi \mapsto \text{MA}(\varphi) := V^{-1}\omega_\varphi^n$. KE equation $\Leftrightarrow \text{MA}(\varphi) = e^{-\lambda\varphi}dV$.
- MA operator admits a primitive $E: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the **Monge–Ampère energy**, i.e.
 $\frac{d}{dt} E(\varphi_t) = \int_X \dot{\varphi}_t \text{MA}(\varphi_t)$. Explicitly $E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{(n+1)V} \sum_{j=0}^n \int \varphi \omega_\varphi^j \wedge \omega^{n-j}$.
- $\varphi \mapsto S(\omega_\varphi) =_{\text{loc}} \Delta_{\omega_\varphi} \log \text{MA}(\varphi)$ fourth order differential operator.
- $\varphi \mapsto (\bar{S} - S(\omega_\varphi)) \text{MA}(\varphi)$ also admits a primitive $M: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the **Mabuchi K-energy** \Rightarrow cscK potentials = critical points of M .
- Explicitly (Chen–Tian) $M(\varphi) = H(\varphi) + \nabla_{-\text{Ric}(\omega)} E(\varphi) = \mathbf{entropy} + \mathbf{energy}$ where
 - ▶ $H(\varphi) := \int \log \left(\frac{\text{MA}(\varphi)}{\text{MA}(0)} \right) \text{MA}(\varphi) \geq 0$;
 - ▶ $\nabla_\theta E(\varphi) := \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} E_{\omega+s\theta}(\varphi)$ for any closed $(1,1)$ -form.

Completion: potentials of finite energy

- Space of ω -**psh functions** $\text{PSH} = \text{PSH}(\omega) := \{\varphi \in L^1 \mid \omega_\varphi = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq 0\}$.
 $\varphi \in \text{PSH} \leftrightarrow \varphi = \lim_i \downarrow \varphi_i \in \mathcal{K}$ (Richberg, Demailly, Blocki–Kolodziej).
- $E: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ nondecreasing \rightsquigarrow uniquely extends to a nondecreasing, usc functional $E: \text{PSH} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, with $E(\varphi) = \lim_i \downarrow E(\varphi_i)$.
- Space of **potentials of finite energy** $\mathcal{E}^1 := \{\varphi \in \text{PSH} \mid E(\varphi) > -\infty\}$.
Strong topology of $\mathcal{E}^1 :=$ coarsest refinement of weak ($=L^1$) topology such that $E: \mathcal{E}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous. \mathcal{K} **dense** in \mathcal{E}^1 .
- If $n = 1$: $\mathcal{E}^1 = \text{PSH} \cap L^2_1$, strong topology = Sobolev topology.
- Monge–Ampère operator admits unique continuous extension to \mathcal{E}^1 (BBEGZ).

Theorem (Darvas–DiNezza–Lu)

The strong topology of \mathcal{E}^1 is defined by a unique metric d_1 such that

- $\varphi \geq \psi \implies d_1(\varphi, \psi) = E(\varphi) - E(\psi)$;
- $d_1(\varphi, \psi) = \inf \{d_1(\varphi, \tau) + d_1(\tau, \psi) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{E}^1, \tau \leq \varphi, \psi\}$;

Furthermore, (\mathcal{E}^1, d_1) is complete.

Psh geodesics

- A path $(\varphi_t)_{t \in I}$ in \mathcal{E}^1 is **psh** if $(t, x) \mapsto \varphi_t(x)$ is ω -psh on $(I + i\mathbb{R}) \times X$. Then $t \mapsto E(\varphi_t)$ convex.
- Each pair $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{E}^1$ is joined by a (unique) maximal psh path $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$, called a **psh geodesic**. Characterized by $t \mapsto E(\varphi_t)$ affine linear.
- If $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\varphi_t(x)$ is $C^{1,1}$ (X. Chen, Chu–Tosatti–Weinkove), but not C^2 in general (Lempert–Vivas).

Proposition (Berman–Darvas–Lu)

Psh geodesics are metric geodesics in (\mathcal{E}^1, d_1) with respect to which this space is Busemann convex.

Also holds for the subspace $\mathcal{E}_0^1 := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1 \mid E(\varphi) = 0\}$ of **normalized potentials**.

Example

Pick finite dimensional vector space V , set $(X, L) := (\mathbb{P}(V), \mathcal{O}(1))$. Hermitian norm $\chi \in \mathcal{H}(V) \rightsquigarrow$ Fubini–Study metric $FS(\chi)$ on $L \implies$ isometric embedding $(\mathcal{H}, d_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{E}^1, d_1)$, takes affine geodesics to psh geodesics.

Proof of Proposition

- Pick psh geodesics $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ and $(\psi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in \mathcal{E}^1 . Enough to show

$$d_1(\varphi_t, \psi_t) \leq (1-t)d_1(\varphi_0, \psi_0) + td_1(\varphi_t, \psi_t) \quad (1)$$

(this implies metric geodesics).

- When $\varphi_t \leq \psi_t$, $d_1(\varphi_t, \psi_t) = \mathbb{E}(\psi_t) - \mathbb{E}(\varphi_t)$ affine linear.
- In general, pick $\tau_0 \leq \varphi_0, \psi_0$ and $\tau_1 \leq \varphi_1, \psi_1$. $(\tau_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ psh geodesic joining them. Maximality of (φ_t) and $(\psi_t) \Rightarrow \tau_t \leq \varphi_t, \psi_t$ for all t . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\varphi_t, \psi_t) &\leq d_1(\varphi_t, \tau_t) + d_1(\tau_t, \psi_t) \\ &= (1-t)[d_1(\varphi_0, \tau_0) + d_1(\tau_0, \psi_0)] + t[d_1(\varphi_1, \tau_1) + d_1(\tau_1, \psi_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

- Infimum over $\tau_0, \tau_1 \Rightarrow (1)$.

The extended K-energy

- For any closed $(1, 1)$ -form θ , $\nabla_{\theta} E$ admits a (unique) continuous extension to \mathcal{E}^1 , bounded on each ball.
- For $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1$ set $H(\varphi) := \int \log \left(\frac{MA(\varphi)}{MA(0)} \right) MA(\varphi) \in [0, +\infty]$ if $MA(\varphi)$ absolutely continuous, and $+\infty$ otherwise. **Entropy functional** $H: \mathcal{E}^1 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is
 - ▶ **strongly lsc** on \mathcal{E}^1 , i.e. $\{H \leq C\} \cap B$ is compact for any closed ball B and $C > 0$ (BBEGZ);
 - ▶ the **maximal lsc extension** from \mathcal{K} , i.e. $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1 \Rightarrow \varphi = \lim_i \varphi_i \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $H(\varphi_i) \rightarrow H(\varphi)$ (Berman–Darvas–Lu).
- Thus $M(\varphi) := H(\varphi) + \nabla_{-\text{Ric}(\omega)} E(\varphi) \rightsquigarrow$ maximal (strongly) lsc extension $M: \mathcal{E}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ of Mabuchi K-energy.

Theorem (Berman–Berndtsson)

$M: \mathcal{E}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is convex along psh geodesics.

Furthermore, $\{\text{cscK potentials}\} = \mathcal{K} \cap \{\text{minimizers of } M \text{ in } \mathcal{E}^1\}$.

Regularity of minimizers

Pick a closed $(1, 1)$ -form θ .

- **Twisted Ricci and scalar curvature** $\text{Ric}^\theta(\omega) := \text{Ric}(\omega) - \theta$, $S^\theta(\omega) := \text{tr}_\omega \text{Ric}^\theta(\omega)$.
 ω **θ -twisted cscK metric** if $S^\theta(\omega) = \text{cst}$.
- $\mathcal{C}_\theta := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{K} \mid \omega_\varphi \text{ } \theta\text{-twisted cscK}\} =$ critical points (in \mathcal{K}) of **θ -twisted K-energy**

$$M^\theta := H + \nabla_{-\text{Ric}^\theta(\omega)} E = M + \nabla_\theta E.$$

- $\theta \geq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_\theta E$ convex $\Rightarrow M^\theta$ convex $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\theta = \mathcal{K} \cap \{\text{minimizers of } M^\theta \text{ in } \mathcal{E}^1\}$. Thus

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_\theta \implies M^\theta(\varphi) \leq M^\theta(0) = 0 \iff H(\varphi) \leq \nabla_{-\text{Ric}^\theta(\omega)} E(\varphi).$$

So energy bound on φ implies entropy bound.

Theorem (Chen–Cheng)

The following holds:

- entropy bound on $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_\theta$ and C^∞ -bound on $\theta \implies C^\infty$ -bound on φ ;*
- if $\theta \geq 0$, any minimizer of M^θ lies in \mathcal{C}_θ .*

Sketch of proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

- Assume $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1$ minimizes M^θ . Pick $\alpha = \omega_\psi$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}$.
- $S := \{t > 0 \mid \mathcal{C}_{\theta+t\alpha} \neq \emptyset\}$ open and non-empty (Hashimoto, Zeng).
- $\varphi_t \in \mathcal{C}_{\theta+t\alpha} \Rightarrow$ minimizes $M^{\theta+t\alpha} = M^\theta + t\nabla_\alpha E$
 $\Rightarrow M^\theta(\varphi_t) + t\nabla_\alpha E(\varphi_t) \leq M^\theta(\psi) + t\nabla_\alpha E(\psi) \leq M^\theta(\varphi_t) + t\nabla_\alpha E(\psi)$
 $\Rightarrow \nabla_\alpha E(\varphi_t) \leq \nabla_\alpha E(\psi)$.
- $\nabla_\alpha E$ coercive on $\mathcal{E}_0^1 \Rightarrow$ energy bound for $\varphi_t \Rightarrow C^\infty$ -bound for φ_t , by (i).
- Thus $\inf S = 0$ and $\varphi_{t_i} \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{C}_\theta$ with $t_i \rightarrow 0$. $\nabla_\alpha E(\tilde{\varphi}) \leq \nabla_\alpha E(\psi)$.
- Write $\psi = \lim_j \varphi_j \in \mathcal{K}$, set $\alpha_j := \omega_{\varphi_j}$. For each j , get $\tilde{\varphi}_j \in \mathcal{C}_\theta$ with $\nabla_{\alpha_j} E(\tilde{\varphi}_j) \leq \nabla_{\alpha_j} E(\psi)$.
- $\varphi_j \rightarrow \psi \Rightarrow \varphi_j$ bounded in $\mathcal{E}^1 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\alpha_j} E = \nabla_\omega E + O(1) \Rightarrow$ energy bound for $\tilde{\varphi}_j \Rightarrow C^\infty$ -bound for $\tilde{\varphi}_j$, by (i).
- $0 \leq \nabla_{\alpha_j} E(\tilde{\varphi}_j) - \nabla_{\alpha_j} E(\varphi_j) \leq \nabla_{\alpha_j} E(\psi) - \nabla_{\alpha_j} E(\varphi_j) \rightarrow 0$ implies $d_1(\tilde{\varphi}_j, \varphi_j) \rightarrow 0$.
Hence $\tilde{\varphi}_j \rightarrow \psi$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_\theta$.

Analytic Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture

We have seen that

- (\mathcal{E}^1, d_1) Busemann convex with respect to the distinguished class of psh geodesics;
- $M: \mathcal{E}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ strongly lsc, convex on psh geodesics;
- minimizers of M in \mathcal{E}^1 correspond to cscK metrics.

Theorem

For any compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) , the following are equivalent:

- (i) there exists a **unique** cscK metric in $[\omega]$;
- (ii) M is coercive on \mathcal{E}_0^1 ;
- (iii) $M \geq \delta \nabla_\omega E - C$ on \mathcal{E}^1 for some $\varepsilon, C > 0$.
- (iv) $\hat{\varphi} = (\varphi_t)$ nontrivial psh geodesic ray in $\mathcal{E}_0^1 \Rightarrow \hat{M}(\hat{\varphi}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} M(\varphi_t) > 0$.