

Some ingredients (& techniques) in pluripotential theory L1

$(X^n, \omega)$  compact Kähler manifold  
 $\omega$  (1,1)-form real, d-closed and  $> 0$ .  
 $\int_X \omega^n = V$

1. The first notion we are going into is the notion of  
 QPSH-functions (or  $\omega$ -PSH)

We say that  $\varphi \in L^1(\omega^n)$   $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$  is  $\omega$ -psH if

$\varphi \sim_{loc}$  smooth + psH &  $\omega + \underbrace{dd^c \varphi}_{i\partial\bar{\partial}} \geq 0$  weak sense

we define the space  
 $\mathcal{PSH}(X, \omega) =$  set of  $\omega$ -psH functions  
 endowed with the  $L^1$ -topology

Examples: \*  $\varphi = \text{constant}$  \*  $\varphi \in C^2$  the  $\varphi/A$  is  $\omega$ -psH  
Properties: \*  $\varphi \approx \log|z|$ ,  $-(\log|z|)^\alpha$   $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

\* bdd by above (we can always normalise with  $\sup_X \varphi = 0$ )

\*  $\varphi \in L^q(\omega^n) \forall q \geq 1$

\* Skoda uniform (?) MAYBE YES!  $\exists d > 0, C > 0: \forall \varphi \omega$ -psH  
 $\sup_X \varphi = 0 \implies \int_X e^{-d\varphi} dV < C$

\*  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{PSH}(X, \omega)$  we can define the mass pluripolar  
 MA measure  $(\omega + dd^c \varphi)^n := MA(\varphi)$

- if  $\varphi \in C^\infty$  then ok
- if  $\varphi \in L^\infty$  BT82
- $\varphi \notin L^\infty \implies MA(\varphi)$  defined by  $\int \delta_z$

$\int \delta_z \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi > -j\}} (\omega + dd^c \max(\varphi, -j))^n$   
 whose mass  $\leq V$

Define con  $\mathcal{E}$  (+ comparison PRINCIPLE!)

② We are now ready to introduce the notion of the MA capacity  $\text{Cap}_w$

Let  $E \subset X$  a Borel set, then  
(INNER REGULAR)

$$\text{Cap}_w(E) = \sup \left\{ \int_E \text{MA}(\mu) \mid \mu \text{ w-psh } -1 \leq \mu \leq 0 \right\}$$

[This is NOT a measure: it is ~~easy to check that~~  $\inf \{ \text{Cap}(G) \}_{G \supset E} \text{ is not subadditive}$   $\rightarrow$  just subadditive]

the reason is  $\text{Cap}_w^*(E) = 0 \iff E$  is pluri-polar  
 $E \subset \{ \psi = -\infty \}$   
 $\psi$  q.p.s.h.

Another capacity (defined by means of global extremal function) is the Alexander-Taylor capacity

Given  $E \subset X$  Borel  
 We set  
Def:  $V_{E,w} = \sup \{ \mu \} : \mu \text{ w-psh } \mu \leq 0 \text{ on } E \}$

FACT •  $V_{E,w}^* = +\infty \iff E$  is pluri-polar  
 $\iff \sup V_{E,w}^* = +\infty$

• if  $E$  is NOT pluri-polar then

$$V_{E,w}^* = 0 \text{ on } E \text{ \& } V_{E,w}^* \geq 0$$

The Alexander-Taylor capacity is then defined as

$$T_w(E) = \exp \left( - \sup_X V_{E,w}^* \right)$$

again  $T_w(E) = 0 \iff E$  is pluri-polar



there is a link between these 2-capacities

Lemma  $T_w(E) \leq \exp \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\text{cap}_w(E)^{1/4}} \right]$

We are now ready to state the result we want to look at whose proof is based on Kolodziej's technique

Theorem Let  $\mu = \int dV$   $\mu(x) = 1$   $f \in L^p(dV)$   $p > 1$   
~~Assume~~ & let  $\psi$  ( $\sup_x \psi = 0$ ) be the upper  $w$ -psh function solution of  $\left| \frac{(\omega + dd^c \psi)^n}{V} = f dV \right|$ .

Assume that

(H1)  $\exists \alpha > 0$  &  $A_\alpha > 0$  :  $\forall \psi$  ~~upper~~  $w$ -psh  
 $\int_X e^{-\alpha(\psi - \sup_X \psi)} dV \leq A_\alpha$

(H2)  $\exists C > 0$  :  $\left( \int_X |f|^p dV \right)^{1/p} \leq C$

then  $-M \leq \psi \leq 0$

where  $M := 1 + C^{1/4} A_\alpha^{1/4} e^{2/nq} b_n \left[ 5 + e^{-\alpha} C^{1/4} A_\alpha^{1/4} \right]$

where  $q$  st  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  &

$b_n$  :  $\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha/q}{x}\right) \leq b_n x^{2n} \quad \forall x > 0$

These two conditions in this setting (nothing is wrong) that are clearly satisfied BUT we want to have a  $b_n$  where all the constants are explicit in order to be able to apply it where we work the first

"proof"

We are going to prove that

$$\textcircled{*} \quad \left| \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi \leq -M\}) = 0 \right| \Rightarrow$$

$\varphi \geq -M$  almost everywhere,  
hence everywhere

To do that, we let  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

$$g(t) := \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t\})^{1/q} \quad \#$$

FACTS •  $g$  is decreasing, right-continuous &  
 $g(+\infty) = 0$

$\textcircled{*}$  is then equivalent to show that  $g$  reaches 0  
in finite time

claim 1  $\forall t > 0 \ \& \ s \in (0, 1)$

$$s g(t+s) \leq D^{1/q} g(t)^2 \quad \text{where}$$

$$D = \frac{1}{\alpha} C A^{1/q} e^{2/q}$$

(EXPLICIT)

proof of claim 1

of the LHS we have

$$s^u \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t-s\}) \quad \#$$

Take  $-t-su \leq 0$   
a candidate for  
the capacity, then  
the quantity to look at is

$$s^u \int_{\{\varphi < -t-s\}} (w + dd^c u)^u \leq \int_{\{\varphi < -t-su\}} (w + dd^c su)^u \leq \int_{\{\varphi < -t-su\}} (w + dd^c \varphi)^u \leq \int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} M A(\varphi)$$

Obs :  $\{\varphi < -t-s\} \subseteq \{\varphi < -t-su\} \subseteq \{\varphi < -t\} \oplus \frac{(w + dd^c su)^u}{s^u M A(u)}$

(3)

$$= \int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} \varphi dV \stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \left( \int_X |\varphi|^p dV \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} dV \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \left( \int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} dV \right)^{1/q}$$

Now ~~let~~ denote  $E := \{\varphi < -t\}$  & remember that  $V_{E,w}^* = 0$  on  $E$  &  $\geq 0$

hence

$$\int dV \leq \int_X e^{-\alpha V_{E,w}^*} dV = \int_X e^{-\alpha(V_{E,w}^* - \sup V_{E,w}^*) - \sup V_{E,w}^*} dV$$

where  $\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$  of assumption (H1)

$$\leq A_\alpha e^{-\alpha \sup V_{E,w}^*} = A_\alpha T_w(E)^\alpha \stackrel{\text{lemma}}{\leq} A_\alpha e \cdot e^{-\frac{\alpha}{\text{Cap}_w(E)} V_{E,w}^*}$$

therefore, combining all the previous inequality we get

$$\int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} \varphi dV \leq C \cdot A_\alpha^{1/q} e^{\alpha/q} e^{-\frac{\alpha/q}{\text{Cap}_w(E)} V_{E,w}^*}$$

$$\leq C \cdot A_\alpha^{1/q} e^{\alpha/q} b_n^n \text{Cap}_w(E)^2$$

the last ineq. comes from the elementary ineq.

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha/q}{x}\right) \leq b_n^n x^{2\alpha} \quad \forall x > 0$$

Taking the sup over  $w$  we get that

$$\int \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t\}) \leq (C A_\alpha^{1/q} e^{\alpha/q} b_n^n)^2 \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t\})^2$$

& this is what we wanted



claim 2  
(no proof)  $g(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \geq 5D^{1/4} + t_0$

where  $t_0 = \inf \{t > 0 : eD^{1/4} \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t\}) < 1\}$

~~$eD^{1/4} \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t\}) < 1$~~

It then remains to get a uniform control out to !

From the previous arguments we get

$$\Delta^q \text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t-s\}) \leq MA(\varphi)(\{\varphi < -s\})$$

taking  $s=1$  we then have

$$\text{Cap}_w(\{\varphi < -t-1\}) \leq MA(\varphi)(\{\varphi < -t\})$$

$$\left(\frac{-\varphi}{t} > 1\right) \rightarrow \int \overset{MA(\varphi)}{\{\varphi < -t\}} \leq \int_X \frac{(-\varphi)}{t} MA(\varphi)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t} \int_X (-\varphi) \varphi \, dV \stackrel{\text{Hölder}}{\leq} \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_X |\varphi|^p \, dV\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_X |\varphi|^q \, dV\right)^{1/q}$$

$$\forall x > 0 \quad x^q \leq \frac{q!}{d^q} e^{dx}$$

$$\&(H2) \leq \frac{c(q!)}{t(d^q)} \left(\int_X e^{-d\varphi} \, dV\right)^{1/q} \stackrel{(H1)}{\leq} \frac{c}{t} \left(\frac{q!}{d^q}\right)^{1/q} \cdot A_d^{1/q}$$

It

$$\frac{eD^{1/4} c}{t} \frac{(q!)^{1/q}}{d^{q/q}} A_d^{1/q} \leq 1 \quad \text{then } t+1 = t_0$$

$$t = \frac{eD^{1/4} c (q!)^{1/q} A_d^{1/q}}{d^q}$$

hence  $t_0 = 1 + e$

Conclusion (down 2 tells us to take

$$M = 5D^{1/4} + 1 + \frac{eD^{1/4}}{\alpha} C(91)^{1/9} A_d^{1/9}$$

I would like to finish with a sort of

stability result: Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$

Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{P}SK(X, \omega) \leq 0 \quad -M \leq \varphi \leq 0$

&  $\varphi: \omega_\varphi \leq A \text{Cop}_\omega^2$ , then  $\exists C > 0$ :  
 $C(A, \omega, M)$

$$\sup_X (\varphi - \psi) \leq \varepsilon + C \text{Cop}_\omega(\{\psi - \varphi < -\varepsilon\})^{2/3}$$

proof: the same type of arguments of before.

if they are close in capacity, they are close in the  $C^0$  norm

the only difference/observation to make is that

$\forall s \in (0, 1)$

$$s = \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}$$

$-M \leq \varphi \leq 0$   
 $-1 \leq u \leq 0$

$$\{\psi - \varphi < -t - s\} \subseteq \left\{ \psi < \frac{\varphi + \tau(u+1)}{1+\tau} - t - s \right\}$$

$$\frac{\varphi + \tau(u+1)}{1+\tau} \geq \varphi$$

$$\frac{\tau}{1+\tau}(u+1) \geq \frac{\tau}{1+\tau}\varphi$$

&  $u+1 \geq 0$  while

$\varphi \leq 0$

$$\subseteq \left\{ \psi < \frac{\varphi}{1+\tau} + s - t - s \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \psi - \varphi < -s\varphi - t \right\}$$

$$\left( \varphi \leq M \right) \subseteq \left\{ \psi - \varphi < sM - t \right\}$$

Therefore  $\forall u$  candidate in the capacity

[5.]

$$\int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t - \delta\}} \omega_u^u \leq \int_{\{\Psi < \underbrace{\frac{\varphi}{1+\tau} + \frac{\tau}{1+\tau}(u+1) - t - \delta}_{:= \tilde{\varphi}}\}}$$

$$\leq \int_{\{\Psi < \tilde{\varphi}\}} [\delta u \varphi + (1-\delta) \omega_\varphi]^u = \int_{\{\Psi < \tilde{\varphi}\}} \omega_{\tilde{\varphi}}^u \stackrel{\text{CP}}{\leq} \int_{\{\Psi < \tilde{\varphi}\}} \omega_\Psi^u$$

$$\leq \int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < \delta M - t\}}$$

Substitution  
 $t \rightarrow t - \delta M = t'$  we get

$t = t' + \delta M$

$$\int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t' - \delta M - \delta\}} \omega_u^u \leq \int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t'\}}$$

$\delta \rightarrow (1+M)\delta = \delta'$

$$\frac{\delta'}{(1+M)^\delta} \int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t' - \delta'\}} \omega_u^u \leq \int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t'\}}$$

hence

$$\int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t - \delta\}} \omega_u^u \leq \frac{\delta'}{(1+M)^\delta} \int_{\{\Psi - \varphi < -t\}}$$